

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	08/274,942	HAGMANN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Mathieu D. Vargot	1732

All Participants:

Status of Application: Allowed

(1) Mathieu D. Vargot.

(3) ____.

(2) Mr. Zhou.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 15 April 2004

Time: ____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

112's and vague language in independent claims 1, 40 and 79; claims 2 and 65 were cancelled, with claim 2 incorporated into claim 1 and a similar recitation added to claims 40 and 79; claim 39 language was corrected.

Claims discussed:

1, 39, 40, 65 and 79

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See above.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)